

REASONS FOR JUDGMENT Table of Contents

Page	Para	Title
1.	I.	Nature of the Proceedings
3.	II.	Length of the Trial
4.	III.	The Parties
6.	IV.	The Letter Agreement - DPSA
10.		The Relationship Between CCC and DSS
11.		CDNG's "Practices, Policies and Procedures"
12.		Directives which relate to CCC's DPSA Activities
14.		DSS and Its "Directives" and "Branches"
15.		Assessment of Risk - DSS Directive 7013
18.	V.	Chronology of Events
20.		U.S. Army Crash Trucks
29.		Bid Price Verification
31.		Government Defendants' Response to USG Bid Price Verification Request
31.		Evidence as to William Ames' August 30, 1984 Visit to KS at Woodstock, Ontario
39.		Mixed Signals
41.		BSL Enters the Scene
44.		BSL Decided to "Test the Waters"
46.		Knowledge possessed by the CCC/DSS Personnel as to whether or no KS had underbid the MACE
51.		CCC agrees to give Walter a price increase
53.		Morgenroth visits BSL at Waterloo, Ontario and the invitation for an "unsolicited proposal" on the MACE
55.		What conclusions come about from the Government Defendants
56.		BSL's Pricing Proposals - Exhibit 6: page 13-63
57.		CCC/DSS Introduce Walter to US Army (TROSCOM)
58.		CCC Awards MACE to Walter

58.		U.S. Army's Mini-Pumper Program
59.		Walter's Financial Problems Continued
59.		CCC's Potential Reprocurement Liability
61.		CCC/DSS Invite BSL to come to a meeting in Hull, PQ about the MACE
62.		Allegations of False Statements by CCC/DSL to BSL and their officers and employees
72.		DOT's 68 Crash Truck Contract (Exhibit 95: April 14, 1986)
73.		The Design Phase and the Production Phase of MACE
74.		US Navy Crash Truck Contract
75.		Amertek's Financial Problems Come to Light
78.		The Quality Assurance Evidence
83.		Colonel Mitchell, John Gattinger, Gregory Bone and their QA Experience
84.		Amertek and Its New Investors
89.		Egyptian Air Force Crash Truck Contract
90.		Amertek's Request for Equitable Adjustment (REA)
90.		CCC/Amertek Supplemental Agreement (Exhibit 9, page 225)
91.		US Navy/CCC Retrofit Agreement
92.		Amertek Ceases to do Business
92.		New Personnel at CCC and DSS
93.		Amertek's Request to Minister of DSS for an Independent Review of CCC's Conduct regarding the US Army MACE Contract
100.		John Collins and his Rebuttal of D & T Report and John Collins' Access to Information Act (ATI) applications
102.		Amertek's Second Proposal to Creditors
105.		Attempt by CCC to set aside the December 2, 1996 proposal and the December 28, 1996 Order of Killeen, J.
106.	VI.	Issues
106.	A.	Doctrine of Documents in Possession
107.		Conclusions
2.	B.	Adverse Inferences for Failure of Government Defendants to Call Material Witnesses and Produce Relevant Documents

115.	C.	Credibility and Reliability of Witnesses
126.	D.	Plaintiffs' Right to Choose Cause of Action/Remedy
127.	E.	Waiver of Tort
128.	F.	Tort of Deceit
130		The May 28, 1985 Meetings at DSS's Offices in Hull, P.Q.
133.	G.	Duty of Disclosure/Good Faith
145.	H.	Fiduciary Duty
149.	I.	Experts – Their Role and Qualifications
161.	J.	Collateral Contract and Disgorgement for Breach of Contract
164		Remedy
167.	K.	Unjust Enrichment
170.	L.	Abuse of Process
174.	M.	Abuse of Public Power, Misfeasance in Public Office
176.	N.	<i>Res Judicata</i> and the Government Defendants' Counterclaim
179.	O.	Punitive Damages
188.	P.	Compound Interest
189.	VII.	CCC's Reprocurement Liability
193.	VIII.	Conversion of US Dollars to Canadian Dollars
194.	IX.	Pre-Judgment Interest
194.	X.	The Claim of Amerkon, The Estate of Dr. William Forder and Dr. Victor Mele
195.	XI.	Result
195.	A.	Amertek Inc.
196.	B.	Amerkon Capital Corporation, Linda Forder Executor and Trustee under the Last Will and Testament of William Forder and Victor Mele
196.	XII.	Costs